

# Macroeconomic Theory II, Spring 2014: Homework 1

The assignment is due next Thursday.

## Problem 1

Consider a two-sector version of the neoclassical growth model, where one sector produces the consumption good  $c_t$  with technology

$$c_t = z_t^c f(k_t^c, n_t^c)$$

and the other sector produces the investment good  $i_t$  with technology

$$i_t = z_t^i f(k_t^i, n_t^i).$$

Assume  $f$  is CRS, strictly concave and increasing in both argument. Note that  $f$  is the same in both sectors.

Aggregate capital is  $k_t = k_t^c + k_t^i$  and accumulates according to the law of motion  $k_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)k_t + i_t$ . Capital used in the consumption sector is  $k_t^c$  and capital used in the investment sector is  $k_t^i$ . Aggregate labor input is  $n_t = n_t^c + n_t^i$ , where  $n_t^c$  is labor supplied in the consumption sector and  $n_t^i$  is labor supplied in the investment sector.

The representative household has period utility  $u(c_t, 1 - n_t)$  and preferences are time-separable with discount factor  $\beta$ . All markets are competitive and both labor and capital are free to move across sectors. Let  $p_t^c$  be the price of the consumption good and  $p_t^i$  be the price of the investment good.

1) Show that this economy aggregates into a one-sector growth model, i.e. the production structure can be summarized by an aggregate production technology  $\tilde{z}f(k_t, n_t)$ . What is the interpretation of the ratio  $(p_t^c/p_t^i)$  in equilibrium?

## Problem 2

Consider a version of the neoclassical growth model where the economy is inhabited by two types of agents  $i = 1, 2$  with measure  $\mu_i$  where  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1$ . Agents of type  $i$  solve

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{c_{it}, n_{it}\}} & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{(c_{it}^\alpha (1 - h_{it})^{1-\alpha})^{1-\gamma}}{1 - \gamma} \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ c_{it} + a_{i,t+1} &= a_{i,t} [1 + r_t (1 - \tau_t)] + w_t \varepsilon_i h_{it} + T^0, \\ a_{i0} & \text{ given for } i = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_i$  are efficiency units of labor endowed to type  $i$ ,  $\tau_t$  is a capital-income tax rate and  $T^0$  are lump-sum transfers. The representative firm produces with CRS technology  $F(K_t, N_t)$  where  $N_t$  is aggregate labor input in efficiency units. The government budget constraint is balanced in every period, i.e.,

$$T^0 = \tau_t K_t r_t$$

Finally, the aggregate resource constraint is

$$C_t + K_{t+1} = F(K_t, N_t) + (1 - \delta) K_t.$$

- 1) Show that in steady-state the distribution of wealth is indeterminate.
- 2) Does this economy admit a representative agent formulation?

Suppose that the economy is, initially, in a particular steady-state with transfers  $T^0$  and imagine that the government raises transfers to  $T^1 > T^0$ .

3) Does the new set of steady-states with higher transfers display higher or lower capital stock? Draw the equilibrium dynamics of this economy between steady-states in the space of wealth holding  $(a_1, a_2)$  for the two agents. Is the final steady-state uniquely determined? How would you compute the dynamics?

### Problem 3

Consider a pure exchange economy, where time is discrete, indexed by  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and continues forever. The economy is populated by 2 individuals  $i = 1, 2$  with logarithmic period utility  $\ln(c_t^i)$  and discount factor equal to  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  who trade a non-storable consumption good  $c_t$ . Agents have deterministic endowment streams  $\{e_t^i\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  of the consumption good given by

$$e_t^i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (t+i) \text{ is even} \\ 2 & \text{if } (t+i) \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Agents behave competitively. All markets open at time zero and contracts are traded specifying how many units of consumption good will be exchanged at each time  $t$  between the two agents.

1) Define an Arrow-Debreu competitive equilibrium and verify that the first Welfare Theorem holds.

2) Solve for the Arrow-Debreu equilibrium, i.e., characterize the equilibrium sequences of prices and allocations of consumption good among agents.

3) Define a Pareto optimal allocation for this economy. Write down the Negishi Planner's problem where the Planner gives weight  $\alpha$  to agent 1 and  $(1 - \alpha)$  to agent 2. Solve the Planner's problem for arbitrary weights  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

4) Characterize the transfer function that allows to map any Pareto optimum, for given weights  $(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)$ , into a competitive equilibrium with transfers among agents and determine the specific value for the planner weights that select exactly the competitive equilibrium you have computed in point 2).

#### **Problem 4**

Prove that if (and only if) the indirect utility function has the form  $v_i(p, a_i) = \alpha_i(p) + \beta(p) a_i$ , for an agent with utility function  $u_i$ , then Engel curves (expenditures as a function of wealth) are linear in wealth .